

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,919	11/10/2005	Yutaka Inoue	1907-0222PUS1	3787	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 11/16/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIR		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			SHALLENBERGER, JULIE A		
FALLS CHUR	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2885		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,919	INOUE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julie A. Shallenberger	2885	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the magnitude of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition</li></ul>	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 29-38</u> is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) <u>20,21,24 and 32</u> is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 29-31, 33-38</u> is/are rejection is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	s/are withdrawn from consider	ation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objective drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	<b>i</b> ).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/05, 7/19/05, 8/24/07.		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

10/531,919 Art Unit: 2885

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 8/16/07 has been entered.

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 8-10, 29-31, & 33-38 in the reply filed on 7/17/07 is acknowledged.

### Claim Objections

Claims 8, 9, 10, 29, 30, and 37 are objected to because they recite "at the both ends" and should say --at both ends--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8, 9, 10, 29, are 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim recitation "on the surface to be illuminated of the object to be illuminated" does not make grammatical sense, and it is unclear what the applicant is intending to claim regarding this recitation.

Application/Control Number:

10/531,919 Art Unit: 2885

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoenfeld.

Shonefeld teaches a backlight unit for illuminating an object using a plurality of fluorescent lamp light sources 22 (figures 3 and 4) disposed below the object to be illuminated (x-ray), wherein the unit has a reflection potion (figure 5) for causing the light form the light sources to exit toward a certain direction, wherein the reflection portion comprises first and second reflection layers (23 and 27) with predetermined levels of reflectance and transmittance wherein the reflection portion consists of a first region with first and second reflection layers (whole bottom 23 and 27) located at a position equivalent to the central portion on the surface to be illuminated and a second region containing the first reflection area only (23 alone – minus area 27), wherein a brightness gradient is formed in the horizontal and vertical directions on the surface to be illuminated and wherein the reflectance is controlled using the first region with relatively higher reflectance than the second region, but does not explicitly teaches that the first and second layers are overlapped in the first region.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make layer 27 overlap layer 23 (via adhesive or the like) in order

10/531,919

Art Unit: 2885

to simplify the manufacturing process or to make the layer removable if so desired for various lighting applications.

Claims 9, 10, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoenfeld in view of Shaw (6,494,587).

Shoenfeld teaches the invention described above, including first and second layers located in the central portion of the horizontal (cl. 9) and vertical (cl. 10) direction, but lacks the teaching of making the brightness of the light sources located at the central portion in the vertical direction on the surface to be illuminated relatively higher than the brightness of the light sources located at both ends.

Shaw teaches a backlight with fluorescent light sources which is capable of adjusting the brightness of the light sources located at the center so that the central portion is illuminated relatively higher than both ends.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Shaw's teaches of controlling the luminance output of lamps in a backlight in order to make the central region brighter to better illuminate objects that are positioned in the center (ie x-rays).

In regard to claims 33 and 34, Shaw teaches using a backlight with a liquid crystal display (col. 1 line 14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the backlight of Shoenfeld in a liquid crystal display in order to increase the marketability of the backlight device.

Application/Control Number:

10/531,919 Art Unit: 2885

Claims 29, 30, 31, 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoenfeld in view of Ogiwara (2003/0210222).

Shoenfeld teaches the invention described above as well as the backlight unit controlling reflectance of the reflection portion in a direction parallel with the longitudinal direction of the lamps (cl. 37) wherein the lamps are parallel to the horizontal direction of the object to be illuminated (cl. 38), but lacks the teaching of making the clearance of light sources located at the center (in horiz./vert. directions) on the surface to be illuminated relatively smaller than the clearance of the light sources located at both ends.

Ogiwara teaches making the clearance of light sources located at the center (in horiz./vert. directions – cl. 29 and 30) on the surface to be illuminated relatively smaller (see figure 7) than the clearance of the light sources located at both ends [0281]-[0285].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to makes the clearance between the light sources smaller at the central area as taught by Ogiwara in order to increase the luminance (brightness) in the central portion so objects are better illuminated in the main area of the device.

In regard to claims 31, 35, and 36, Ogiwara teaches using a backlight in a liquid crystal display [0019].

10/531,919 Art Unit: 2885

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the backlight of Shoenfeld in a liquid crystal display in order to increase the marketability of the backlight device.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Modia (4,335,421) teaches a relevant lighting device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie A. Shallenberger whose telephone number is (571)272-7131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 830-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong-Suk (James) Lee can be reached on 571-272-7044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAS AU 2885

> JONG-SUK (JAMES) LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER